arXiv:1405.6462v1 [math.CO] 26 May 2014

Cayley Graph on Symmetric Group Generated by Elements Fixing k Points

Cheng Yeaw Ku * Terry Lau † Kok Bin Wong ‡

May 27, 2014

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be the symmetric group on $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. The k-point fixing graph $\mathcal{F}(n, k)$ is defined to be the graph with vertex set $\mathcal{S}_n$ and two vertices g, h of $\mathcal{F}(n, k)$ are joined if and only if gh^{-1} fixes exactly k points. In this paper, we derive a recurrence formula for the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}(n, k)$. Then we apply our result to determine the sign of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}(n, 1)$.

KEYWORDS: Arrangement graph, Cayley graphs, symmetric group, Alternating Sign Property.
2010 MSC: 05C50, 05A05

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group and S be an inverse closed subset of G, i.e., $1 \notin S$ and $s \in S \Rightarrow s^{-1} \in G$. The *Cayley graph* $\Gamma(G, S)$ is the graph which has the elements of G as its vertices and two vertices $u, v \in G$ are joined by an edge if and only if $v = su$ for some $s \in S$.

A Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, S)$ is said to be *normal* if S is closed under conjugation. It is well known that the eigenvalues of a normal Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, S)$ can be expressed in terms of the irreducible characters of G.

Theorem 1.1 ([1, 10, 18, 19])**.** *The eigenvalues of a normal Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, S)$ are given by*

$$\eta_\chi = \frac{1}{\chi(1)} \sum_{s \in S} \chi(s),$$

where χ ranges over all the irreducible characters of G. Moreover, the multiplicity of η_χ is $\chi(1)^2$.

*Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543. E-mail: matkcy@nus.edu.sg
†Institute of Mathematical Sciences, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
E-mail: terrylsc@hotmail.com
‡Institute of Mathematical Sciences, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
E-mail: kbwong@um.edu.my

Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be the symmetric group on $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $S \subseteq \mathcal{S}_n$ be closed under conjugation. Since central characters are algebraic integers ([14, Theorem 3.7 on p. 36]) and that the characters of the symmetric group are integers ([14, 2.12 on p. 31] or [21, Corollary 2 on p. 103]), by Theorem 1.1, the eigenvalues of $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$ are integers.

Corollary 1.2. *The eigenvalues of a normal Cayley graph $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, S)$ are integers.*

For $k \leq n$, a *k-permutation* of $[n]$ is an injective function from $[k]$ to $[n]$. So any k-permutation π can be represented by a vector $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ where $\pi(j) = i_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Let $1 \leq r \leq k \leq n$. The *(n, k, r)-arrangement graph* $A(n, k, r)$ has all the k-permutations of $[n]$ as vertices and two k-permutations are adjacent if they differ in exactly r positions. Formally, the vertex set $V(n, k)$ and edge set $E(n, k, r)$ of $A(n, k, r)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} V(n,k) &= \big\{(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k) \mid p_i \in [n] \text{ and } p_i \neq p_j \text{ for } i \neq j\big\}, \\ E(n,k,r) &= \big\{\{(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k), (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_k)\} \mid p_i \neq q_i \text{ for } i \in R \text{ and} \\ &\qquad p_j = q_j \text{ for all } j \in [k] \setminus R \text{ for some } R \subseteq [k] \text{ with } |R| = r\big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|V(n, k)| = n!/(n - k)!$ and $A(n, k, r)$ is a regular graph [3, Theorem 4.2]. In particular, $A(n, k, 1)$ is a $k(n - k)$-regular graph. We note here that $A(n, k, 1)$ is called the partial permutation graph in [15].

The family of the arrangement graphs $A(n, k, 1)$ was first introduced in [9] as an interconnection network model for parallel computation. In the interconnection network model, each processor has its own memory unit and communicates with the other processors through a topological network, i.e. a graph. Many properties of the arrangement graphs $A(n, k, 1)$ have been studied in [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 23, 24].

The eigenvalues of the arrangement graphs $A(n, k, 1)$ were first studied in [2] by using a method developed by Godsil and McKay [13]. A relation between the eigenvalues of $A(n, k, r)$ and certain Cayley graphs was given in [3].

The *derangement graph* Γ_n is the Cayley graph $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, D_n)$ where D_n is the set of derangements in $\mathcal{S}_n$. That is, two vertices g, h of Γ_n are joined if and only if $g(i) \neq h(i)$ for all $i \in [n]$, or equivalently gh^{-1} fixes no point. Since D_n is closed under conjugation, by Corollary 1.2, the eigenvalues of the derangement graph are integers. The lower and upper bounds of the absolute values of these eigenvalues have been studied in [16, 17, 20]. Note that the derangement graph is a kind of arrangement graph, i.e., $\Gamma_n = A(n, n, n)$.

Let $0 \leq k < n$ and $\mathcal{S}(n, k)$ be the set of all $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that σ fixes exactly k elements. Note that $\mathcal{S}(n, k)$ is an inverse closed subset of $\mathcal{S}_n$. The *k-point fixing graph* is defined to be

$$\mathcal{F}(n, k) = \Gamma(\mathcal{S}_n, \mathcal{S}(n, k)).$$

That is, two vertices g, h of $\mathcal{F}(n, k)$ are joined if and only if gh^{-1} fixes exactly k points. Note that the k-point fixing graph is also a kind of arrangement graph, i.e., $\mathcal{F}(n, k) = A(n, n, n - k)$. Furthermore, the 0-point fixing graph is the derangement graph, i.e., $\mathcal{F}(n, 0) = \Gamma_n = A(n, n, n)$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{F}(n, k)$ is vertex-transitive, so it is $|\mathcal{S}(n, k)|$-regular and the largest eigenvalue of $\mathcal{F}(n, k)$ is $|\mathcal{S}(n, k)|$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}(n, k)$ is closed under conjugation. Therefore, by Corollary 1.2, the eigenvalues

of the k-point fixing graph are integers. However, the complete set of spectrum of $\mathcal{F}(n,k)$ is not known. The purpose of this paper is to study the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}(n,k)$.

Recall that a partition λ of n, denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$, is a weakly decreasing sequence $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_r$ with $\lambda_r \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r = n$. We write $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)$. The *size* of λ, denoted by $|\lambda|$, is n and each λ_i is called the *i-th part* of the partition. We also use the notation $(\mu_1^{a_1}, \ldots, \mu_s^{a_s}) \vdash n$ to denote the partition where μ_i are the distinct nonzero parts that occur with multiplicity a_i. For example,

$$(5,5,4,4,2,2,2,1) \longleftrightarrow (5^2, 4^2, 2^3, 1).$$

It is well known that both the conjugacy classes of $\mathcal{S}_n$ and the irreducible characters of $\mathcal{S}_n$ are indexed by partitions λ of $[n]$. Since $\mathcal{S}(n,k)$ is closed under conjugation, the eigenvalue $\eta_{\chi_\lambda}(k)$ of the k-point fixing graph can be denoted by $\eta_\lambda(k)$. Throughout the paper, we shall use this notation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some known results regarding the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}(n,0)$. In Section 3, we prove a recurrence formula for the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}(n,k)$ (Theorem 3.7). In Section 4, we prove some inequalities for the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}(n,0)$ which will be used to prove the following Alternating Sign Property (ASP) for $\mathcal{F}(n,1)$:

Theorem 1.3. (ASP for $\mathcal{F}(n,1)$) *Let $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \vdash n$.*

(a) *$\eta_\lambda(1) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda = (n-1,1)$ or $\lambda = (2, 1^{n-2})$.*

(b) *If $r = 1$ and $\lambda \neq (2)$, then $\eta_\lambda(1) > 0$.*

(c) *If $r \geq 2$ and $\lambda \neq (n-1,1)$ or $(2,1^{n-2})$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sign}(\eta_\lambda(1)) &= (-1)^{|\lambda| - \lambda_1 - 1} \\ &= (-1)^{(\#\text{cells under the first row of } \lambda) - 1} \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{sign}(\eta_\lambda(1))$ *is* 1 *if* $\eta_\lambda(1)$ *is positive or* -1 *if* $\eta_\lambda(1)$ *is negative.*

In Section 6, we provide a list of eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}(n,1)$ for small n.

2 Known results for eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}(n,0)$

To describe the Renteln's recurrence formula for $\mathcal{F}(n,0)$, we require some terminology. To the Ferrers diagram of a partition λ, we assign xy-coordinates to each of its boxes by defining the upper-left-most box to be $(1,1)$, with the x axis increasing to the right and the y axis increasing downwards. Then the *hook* of λ is the union of the boxes $(x',1)$ and $(1,y')$ of the Ferrers diagram of λ, where $x' \geq 1$, $y' \geq 1$. Let $\widehat{h}_\lambda$ denote the hook of λ and let h_λ denote the size of $\widehat{h}_\lambda$. Similarly, let $\widehat{c}_\lambda$ and c_λ denote the first column of λ and the size of $\widehat{c}_\lambda$ respectively. Note that c_λ is equal to the number of rows of λ. When λ is clear from the context, we will replace $\widehat{h}_\lambda$, h_λ, $\widehat{c}_\lambda$ and c_λ by $\widehat{h}$, h, $\widehat{c}$ and c respectively. Let $\lambda - \widehat{h} \vdash n - h$ denote the partition obtained from λ by removing its hook. Also, let $\lambda - \widehat{c}$ denote the partition obtained from λ by removing the first column of its Ferrers diagram, i.e. $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) - \widehat{c} = (\lambda_1 - 1, \ldots, \lambda_r - 1) \vdash n - r$.

Theorem 2.1. ([20, Theorem 6.5] Renteln's Recurrence Formula) *For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \vdash n$, the eigenvalues of the derangement graph $\mathcal{F}(n, 0)$ satisfy the following recurrence:*

$$\eta_\lambda(0) = (-1)^h \eta_{\lambda - \widehat{h}}(0) + (-1)^{h+\lambda_1} h \eta_{\lambda - \widehat{c}}(0)$$

with initial condition $\eta_\emptyset(0) = 1$.

To describe the Ku-Wong's recurrence formula for $\mathcal{F}(n, 0)$, we need a new terminology. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \vdash n$, let $\widehat{l}_\lambda$ denote the last row of λ and l_λ denote the size of $\widehat{l}_\lambda$. Clearly, we have $l_\lambda = \lambda_r$. Let $\lambda - \widehat{l}_\lambda$ denote the partition obtained from λ by deleting the last row. When λ is clear from the context, we will replace $\widehat{l}_\lambda$, l_λ by $\widehat{l}$ and l respectively.

Theorem 2.2. ([17, Theorem 1.4] Ku-Wong's Recurrence Formula) *For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \vdash n$, the eigenvalues of the derangement graph $\mathcal{F}(n, 0)$ satisfy the following recurrence:*

$$\eta_\lambda(0) = (-1)^{\lambda_r} \eta_{\lambda - \widehat{l}}(0) + (-1)^{r-1} \lambda_r \eta_{\lambda - \widehat{c}}(0)$$

with initial condition $\eta_\emptyset(0) = 1$.

The following theorem is called the Alternating Sign Property (ASP) for $\mathcal{F}(n, 0)$.

Theorem 2.3. ([16, Theorem 1.2],[17, Theorem 1.3]) *Let $n \geq 2$. For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \vdash n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sign}(\eta_\lambda(0)) &= (-1)^{|\lambda| - \lambda_1} \\ &= (-1)^{\#\text{cells under the first row of } \lambda} \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{sign}(\eta_\lambda(0))$ *is* 1 *if* $\eta_\lambda(0)$ *is positive or* -1 *if* $\eta_\lambda(0)$ *is negative.*

The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 2.2 and 2.3.

Corollary 2.4. *For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \vdash n$ with $r \geq 2$, the absolute value of the eigenvalues of the derangement graph $\mathcal{F}(n, 0)$ satisfy the following recurrence:*

$$|\eta_\lambda(0)| = |\eta_{\lambda - \widehat{l}}(0)| + \lambda_r |\eta_{\lambda - \widehat{c}}(0)|$$

with initial condition $|\eta_\emptyset(0)| = 1$.

3 Recurrence Formula

For each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, we denote it's conjugacy class by $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\sigma)$, i.e., $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\sigma) = \{\gamma^{-1}\sigma\gamma \ : \ \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_n\}$. Let $\mu \vdash n$ be the partition that represents $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\sigma)$. We shall denote the size of $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\sigma)$ by $N_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\mu)$.

Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_n$. The set $\alpha^{-1}A\alpha$ is defined as

$$\alpha^{-1}A\alpha = \{\alpha^{-1}\sigma\alpha \ : \ \sigma \in A\}.$$

Let $0 \leq k < n$. Each $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_{n-k}$ can be considered as an element $\overline{\beta}$ of $\mathcal{S}_n$ by defining $\overline{\beta}(j) = \beta(j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-k$ and $\overline{\beta}(j) = j$ for $n-k+1 \leq j \leq n$. The $\overline{\beta}$ is called the *extension* of β to $\mathcal{S}_n$. The

set of derangements D_{n-k} in $\mathcal{S}_{n-k}$ can be considered as a subset of $\mathcal{S}_n$ ($\overline{D}_{n-k} = \{\overline{\sigma} \ : \ \sigma \in D_{n-k}\}$). Furthermore, $\bigcup_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n} \sigma^{-1}\overline{D}_{n-k}\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{S}(n,k)$.

Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(n,k)$. Then γ fixes exactly k elements, i.e., $\gamma(i_j) = i_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and $\gamma(a) \neq a$ for $a \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\} = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n-k}\}$. Let $\sigma_0(b_j) = j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-k$ and $\sigma_0(i_j) = n-k+j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\sigma_0^{-1}\gamma\sigma_0 \in \overline{D}_{n-k}$. Hence, the following lemma follows.

Lemma 3.1.

$$\mathcal{S}(n,k) = \bigcup_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_n} \sigma^{-1}\overline{D}_{n-k}\sigma.$$

Let $\lambda \vdash n$. For a box with coordinate (a,b) in the Ferrers diagram of λ, the *hook-length* $h_\lambda(a,b)$ is the size of the set of all the boxes with coordinate (i,j) where $i = a$ and $j \geq b$, or $i \geq a$ and $j = b$. The following lemma is well-known [12, 4.12 on p. 50].

Lemma 3.2.

$$\chi_\lambda(1) = \frac{n!}{\prod h_\lambda(a,b)},$$

where the product is over all the boxes (a,b) in the Ferrers diagram of λ.

For convenience, let us denote the dimension of λ by f^λ, i.e., $f^\lambda = \chi_\lambda(1)$. By Lemma 3.1, there are σ_{k1}, σ_{k2}, …, $\sigma_{ks_k} \in D_{n-k}$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}(n,k) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s_k} \mathrm{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\overline{\sigma}_{ki}), \tag{1}$$

and σ_{ki} is not conjugate to σ_{kj} in $\mathcal{S}_{n-k}$ for $i \neq j$. Furthermore,

$$D_{n-k} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s_k} \mathrm{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_{n-k}}(\sigma_{ki}). \tag{2}$$

Note that $\chi_\lambda(\sigma) = \chi_\lambda(\beta)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\beta)$. For any $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_n$, let $\varphi(\beta)$ denote the partition of n induced by the cycle structure of β. Let $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\beta)$ be represented by the partition $\varphi(\beta) \vdash n$. Then by Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2, the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}(n,k)$ are integers given by

$$\eta_\lambda(k) = \frac{1}{f^\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{s_k} N_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki}))\chi_\lambda(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})), \tag{3}$$

where $\chi_\lambda(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})) = \chi_\lambda(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})$.

Assume that $0 < k < n$. Note that each $\overline{\sigma}_{ki}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s_k$) must consist of at least one 1-cycle in its cycle decomposition. Therefore $\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki}) = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_r) \vdash n$ and $\nu_r = 1$. Note that $\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki}) - \widehat{1}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})} = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_{r-1}) \vdash (n-1)$. We are now ready to state the following lemma which is a special case of [11, Theorem 3.4].

Lemma 3.3. *If the Ferrers diagrams obtained from λ by removing 1 node from the right hand side from any row of the diagram so that the resulting diagram will still be a partition of $(n-1)$ are those of $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_q$, then*

$$\chi_\lambda(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \chi_{\mu_j}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki}) - \widehat{1}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})}),$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq s_k$.

Example 3.4. *Let $n = 7$ and $\lambda = (3, 3, 1)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{(3,3,1)}((6,1)) &= \chi_{(3,3)}((6)) + \chi_{(3,2,1)}((6)),\\
\chi_{(3,3,1)}((4,2,1)) &= \chi_{(3,3)}((4,2)) + \chi_{(3,2,1)}((4,2)),\\
\chi_{(3,3,1)}((3,3,1)) &= \chi_{(3,3)}((3,3)) + \chi_{(3,2,1)}((3,3)),\\
\chi_{(3,3,1)}((2,2,2,1)) &= \chi_{(3,3)}((2,2,2)) + \chi_{(3,2,1)}((2,2,2)).
\end{aligned}$$

We shall need the following lemma [22, (7.18) on p. 299].

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\lambda = (n^{m_n}, \ldots, 2^{m_2}, 1^{m_1}) \vdash n$ and $z_\lambda = \prod_{j=1}^{n}(j^{m_j} m_j!)$, then the size of the conjugacy class represented by λ is*

$$N_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\lambda) = \frac{n!}{z_\lambda}.$$

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \vdash (n-k)$ be a derangement, i.e., $\lambda_r \geq 2$. If*

$$\nu = (\lambda, 1^k) \vdash n, \text{ and } \mu = (\lambda, 1^{k-1}) \vdash (n-1),$$

then

$$N_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\nu) = \frac{n}{k} N_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}(\mu).$$

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemma 3.5, by noting that

$$N_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\nu) = \frac{n!}{z_\lambda \times 1 \cdot k!}, \text{ and } N_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}(\mu) = \frac{(n-1)!}{z_\lambda \times 1 \cdot (k-1)!}.$$

□

Theorem 3.7. *Let $0 < k < n$ and $\lambda \vdash n$. If the Ferrers diagrams obtained from λ by removing 1 node from the right hand side from any row of the diagram so that the resulting diagram will still be a partition of $(n-1)$ are those of $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_q$, then*

$$\eta_\lambda(k) = \frac{n}{k f^\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{q} f^{\mu_j} \eta_{\mu_j}(k-1).$$

Proof. Suppose $k = 1$. By equation (3),

$$\eta_\lambda(1) = \frac{1}{f^\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} N_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})) \chi_\lambda(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})).$$

Note that $\overline{\sigma}_{1i}$ consists of exactly one 1-cycle and $\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i}) = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_r) \vdash n$ with $\nu_r = 1$, $\nu_{r-1} \geq 2$. Therefore $\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i}) - \widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})} = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_{r-1}) \vdash (n-1)$ is a derangement. In fact, $\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i}) - \widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})}$ is the

partition of $(n-1)$ that represents $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}(\sigma_{1i})$. By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_\lambda(1) &= \frac{1}{f^\lambda}\sum_{i=1}^{s_1} N_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i}))\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q}\chi_{\mu_j}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})})\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{f^\lambda}\sum_{i=1}^{s_1} nN_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})})\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q}\chi_{\mu_j}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})})\right)\\
&= \frac{n}{f^\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s_1} N_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})})\chi_{\mu_j}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})})\right)\\
&= \frac{n}{f^\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^{q} f^{\mu_j}\eta_{\mu_j}(0),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from equations (2) and (3). Thus, the theorem holds for $k=1$.

Suppose $k>1$. (We note here that the proof for $k>1$ is similar to the proof for $k=1$. The reason we distinguish them is to make the proof easier to comprehend.)

By equation (3),

$$\eta_\lambda(k) = \frac{1}{f^\lambda}\sum_{i=1}^{s_k} N_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki}))\chi_\lambda(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})).$$

Note that $\overline{\sigma}_{ki}$ consists of exactly k's 1-cycle and $\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})=(\nu_1,\nu_2,\ldots,\nu_r)\vdash n$ with $\nu_j=1$ for $r-k+1\le j\le r$ and $\nu_{r-k}\ge 2$. Let $\overline{\overline{\sigma}}_{ki}$ be the extension of σ_{ki} to $\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$, i.e., $\overline{\overline{\sigma}}_{ki}(j)=\sigma_{ki}(j)$ for $1\le j\le n-k$ and $\overline{\overline{\sigma}}_{ki}(j)=j$ for $n-k+1\le j\le n-1$. Note that $\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})}=(\nu_1,\nu_2,\ldots,\nu_{r-1})\vdash(n-1)$ is the partition of $(n-1)$ that represents $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}(\overline{\overline{\sigma}}_{ki})$. Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{S}(n-1,k-1)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{s_k}\mathrm{Con}_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}(\overline{\overline{\sigma}}_{ki}).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.1,

$$\eta_{\mu_j}(k-1)=\frac{1}{f^{\mu_j}}\sum_{i=1}^{s_k} N_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})})\chi_{\mu_j}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})}).$$

By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_\lambda(k) &= \frac{1}{f^\lambda}\sum_{i=1}^{s_k} N_{\mathcal{S}_n}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki}))\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q}\chi_{\mu_j}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})})\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{f^\lambda}\sum_{i=1}^{s_k} \frac{n}{k}N_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})})\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q}\chi_{\mu_j}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})})\right)\\
&= \frac{n}{kf^\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^{q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s_k} N_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{ki})})\chi_{\mu_j}(\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})-\widehat{l}_{\varphi(\overline{\sigma}_{1i})})\right)\\
&= \frac{n}{kf^\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^{q} f^{\mu_j}\eta_{\mu_j}(k-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the theorem holds for $k>1$. □

4 Inequalities for the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}(n,0)$

For convenience, if $\lambda = (n)$, we set

$$d_n = \eta_\lambda(0).$$

By Theorem 2.2,

$$d_n = (-1)^n + nd_{n-1}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \tag{4}$$

where $d_0 = 1$. Note that $d_1 = 0$ and $d_n > 0$ for all $n \neq 1$. Furthermore, for $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &= (-1)^n + nd_{n-1} \\ &\geq nd_{n-1} - 1 \qquad (5) \\ &= (n-1)d_{n-1} + d_{n-1} - 1 \geq (n-1)d_{n-1}. \qquad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq n-1$. If $\lambda = (n-p, 1^p)$ and $\mu = (n-p+1, 1^{p-1})$ are partitions of $[n]$, then*

$$f^\lambda \left|\eta_\lambda(0)\right| \leq f^\mu \left|\eta_\mu(0)\right|.$$

Furthermore, equality holds if and only if $p = 1$ or $n - p = 1$.

Proof. Note that

$$f^\lambda = \frac{n!}{H^\lambda} = \frac{n!}{n(n-p-1)!p!} \quad \text{and} \quad f^\mu = \frac{n!}{H^\mu} = \frac{n!}{n(n-p)!(p-1)!}.$$

By Theorem 2.1 and equation (4),

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_\lambda(0)| &= \left|1 + (-1)^{n-p} n d_{n-p-1}\right|, \\ |\eta_\mu(0)| &= \left|1 + (-1)^{n-p+1} n d_{n-p}\right| \\ &= \left|1 - n + (-1)^{n-p+1} n(n-p) d_{n-p-1}\right|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show that

$$P_L = (n-p)\left|1 + (-1)^{n-p} n d_{n-p-1}\right| \leq p\left|1 - n + (-1)^{n-p+1} n(n-p) d_{n-p-1}\right| = P_R.$$

Case 1. Suppose n and p are of same parity (both even or both odd). Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_R - P_L &= p(n(n-p)d_{n-p-1} + n - 1) - (n-p)(1 + nd_{n-p-1}) \\ &= n(n-p)(p-1)d_{n-p-1} + (p-1)n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $P_R - P_L = 0$ if and only if $p = 1$.

Case 2. Suppose n and p are of different parity (one even and one odd). Then $d_{n-p-1} \neq 0$, for $n - p \neq 2$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P_R - P_L &= p(1 - n + n(n-p)d_{n-p-1}) - (n-p)(nd_{n-p-1} - 1) \\ &= n(n-p)(p-1)d_{n-p-1} - (p-1)n \\ &= n(p-1)((n-p)d_{n-p-1} - 1) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $P_R - P_L = 0$ if and only if $p = 1$ or $n - p = 1$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $m \geq q \geq 1$ and $n = m + q$. If $\lambda = (m, q)$ and $\mu = (m + 1, q - 1)$ are partitions of $[n]$, then*

$$(m - q + 1)\,|\eta_\lambda(0)| \leq |\eta_\mu(0)|\,.$$

Furthermore, equality holds if and only if $q = 1$ or $m = q = 2$.

Proof. We shall prove by induction on q. Suppose $q = 1$. By Corollary 2.4, $|\eta_\lambda(0)| = d_m + d_{m-1}$. By equation (4), $|\eta_\mu(0)| = d_{m+1} = (-1)^{m+1} + (m + 1)d_m$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_\mu(0)| - m\,|\eta_\lambda(0)| &= (-1)^{m+1} + d_m - md_{m-1} \\ &= (-1)^{m+1} + (-1)^m + md_{m-1} - md_{m-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $q \geq 2$. Assume that the lemma holds for $q - 1$. By Theorem 2.1,

$$\eta_\lambda(0) = (-1)^{m+1} d_{q-1} - (m + 1)\eta_{(m-1,q-1)}(0).$$

By Theorem 2.3, $\operatorname{sign}(\eta_\lambda(0)) = (-1)^q$ and $\operatorname{sign}((m - 1, q - 1)) = (-1)^{q-1}$. Thus,

$$|\eta_\lambda(0)| = (-1)^{m-q+1} d_{q-1} + (m + 1)|\eta_{(m-1,q-1)}(0)|.$$

Similarly, by Theorem 2.1 and 2.3,

$$|\eta_\mu(0)| = (-1)^{m-q+1} d_{q-2} + (m + 2)|\eta_{(m,q-2)}(0)|.$$

By induction, $(m - q + 1)|\eta_{(m-1,q-1)}(0)| \leq |\eta_{(m,q-2)}(0)|$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &|\eta_\mu(0)| - (m - q + 1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| \\ &\quad \geq (-1)^{m-q}(m - q + 1)d_{q-1} + (-1)^{m-q+1} d_{q-2} + |\eta_{(m,q-2)}(0)|. \end{aligned}$$

If $q = 2$, then $d_{q-1} = 0$ and $|\eta_\mu(0)| - (m - q + 1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| \geq d_m + (-1)^{m-1} \geq 0$. Furthermore, equality holds throughout if and only if $m = q = 2$.

Suppose $q \geq 3$. By Corollary 2.4, $|\eta_{(m,q-2)}(0)| = d_m + (q - 2)|\eta_{(m-1,q-3)}(0)| > d_m$, where the last inequality follows from $|\eta_{(m-1,q-3)}(0)| \neq 0$. If $m \equiv q \mod 2$, then $|\eta_\mu(0)| - (m - q + 1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| > (m - q)d_{q-1} + (d_{q-1} - d_{q-2}) + d_m > 0$. If $m \not\equiv q \mod 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_\mu(0)| - (m - q + 1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| &> -(m - q + 1)d_{q-1} + d_{q-2} + d_m \\ &\geq d_m - (m - q + 1)d_{q-1} \\ &\geq (m - 1)d_{m-1} - (m - q + 1)d_{q-1} \qquad \text{(by equation (6))} \\ &\geq (q - 2)d_{q-1} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.3. *If $m > q \geq 1$ and $k \geq t \geq 1$, then*

$$(m - q + k + 1)|\eta_{(q,q^t)}(0)| \leq k|\eta_{(m+1,q^t)}(0)|.$$

Furthermore, equality holds if and only if $q = 1$, $m = 2$ and $k = t$.

Proof. We shall prove by induction on q. Suppose $q = 1$. Then by Corollary 2.4, $|\eta_{(q,q^t)}(0)| = t$ and $|\eta_{(m+1,q^t)}(0)| = td_m + d_{m+1}$. Note that $m \geq 2$. If $m = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} k|\eta_{(m+1,q^t)}(0)| - (m-q+k+1)|\eta_{(q,q^t)}(0)| &= k(t+2) - (k+2)t \\ &= 2(k-t) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, equality holds if and only if $k = t$.

If $m = 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} k|\eta_{(m+1,q^t)}(0)| - (m-q+k+1)|\eta_{(q,q^t)}(0)| &= k(2t+9) - (k+3)t \\ &= kt + 3(3k-t) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $m \geq 4$. By equation (6), $d_m \geq (m-1)(m-2)d_{m-2} \geq (m-1)(m-2)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} k(m-1)(m-2) - (m-q+k+1) &= km^2 - (3k+1)m + k \\ &\geq 4km - (3k+1)m + k \\ &= (k-1)m + k > 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have $k|\eta_{(m+1,q^t)}(0)| - (m-q+k+1)|\eta_{(q,q^t)}(0)| \geq t((k-1)m+k) + d_{m+1} > 0$.

Suppose $q \geq 2$. Assume that

$$(m' - (q-1) + k + 1)|\eta_{(q-1,(q-1)^t)}(0)| \leq k|\eta_{(m'+1,(q-1)^t)}(0)|,$$

for all $m' > q-1$ and $k \geq t \geq 1$.

By Corollary 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_{(m+1,q^t)}(0)| &= q|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^t)}(0)| + |\eta_{(m+1,q^{t-1})}(0)| \\ &= q|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^t)}(0)| + q|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{t-1})}(0)| + |\eta_{(m+1,q^{t-2})}(0)| \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} |\eta_{(m,(q-1)^j)}(0)|\right) + d_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$|\eta_{(q,q^t)}(0)| = q\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} |\eta_{(q-1,(q-1)^j)}(0)|\right) + d_q.$$

By induction, for $1 \leq j \leq t$,

$$(m-q+k+1)|\eta_{(q-1,(q-1)^j)}(0)| \leq k|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^j)}(0)|.$$

By equation (6), $d_{m+1} \geq m(m-1)d_{m-1} \geq m(m-1)d_q$. Note that $m \geq 3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} km(m-1) - (m-q+k+1) &= km^2 - (k+1)m + q - k - 1 \\ &\geq 3km - (k+1)m + q - k - 1 \\ &= (2k-1)m + q - k - 1 \\ &\geq 3(2k-1) + q - k - 1 \\ &= 5k - 4 + q > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(m-q+k+1)|\eta_{(q,q^t)}(0)| \leq k|\eta_{(m+1,q^t)}(0)|$.

This complete the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.4. *If $q \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$, then*

$$|\eta_{(q^t,q-1)}(0)| < |\eta_{(q^t,q)}(0)|.$$

Proof. We shall prove by induction on q. Suppose $q = 1$. Then by Corollary 2.4, $|\eta_{(q^t,q)}(0)| = t > t - 1 = |\eta_{(q^t,q-1)}(0)|$.

Suppose $q \geq 2$. Assume that the lemma holds for $q-1$. By Corollary 2.4,

$$|\eta_{(q^t,q)}(0)| = q|\eta_{((q-1)^t,q-1)}(0)| + |\eta_{(q^t)}(0)|$$

$$|\eta_{(q^t,q-1)}(0)| = (q-1)|\eta_{((q-1)^t,q-2)}(0)| + |\eta_{(q^t)}(0)|.$$

By induction, $|\eta_{((q-1)^t,q-2)}(0)| < |\eta_{((q-1)^t,q-1)}(0)|$. Hence, $|\eta_{(q^t,q-1)}(0)| < |\eta_{(q^t,q)}(0)|$.

This complete the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $m \geq q \geq 1$, $k \geq 2$ and $n = m + kq$. If $\lambda = (m, q^{k-1}, q)$ and $\mu = (m+1, q^{k-1}, q-1)$ are partitions of $[n]$, then*

$$(m-q+1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| \leq k|\eta_\mu(0)|.$$

Furthermore, equality holds if and only if $q = 1 = m$.

Proof. We shall prove by induction on q. Suppose $q = 1$. By Corollary 2.4, $|\eta_\lambda(0)| = kd_{m-1} + d_m$ and $|\eta_\mu(0)| = (k-1)d_m + d_{m+1}$. If $m = 1$, then $|\eta_\lambda(0)| = k = k|\eta_\mu(0)|$ and the lemma holds. If $m = 2$, then $2|\eta_\lambda(0)| = 2 < k(k+1) = k|\eta_\mu(0)|$ and the lemma holds. Suppose $m \geq 3$. Then by equation (6),

$$\begin{aligned} &k|\eta_\mu(0)| - (m-q+1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| \\ &= k((k-1)d_m + d_{m+1}) - m\left(kd_{m-1} + d_m\right) \\ &\geq k((k-1)d_m + md_m) - m\left(kd_{m-1} + d_m\right) \\ &= (k^2 + (k-1)m - k)d_m - kmd_{m-1} \\ &\geq d_{m-1}\left((k^2 + (k-1)m - k)(m-1) - km\right) \\ &\geq d_{m-1}\left(2(k^2 + (k-1)m - k) - km\right) \\ &= d_{m-1}\left(2k(k-1) + (k-2)m\right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $q \geq 2$. Assume that the lemma holds for $q-1$. By Theorem 2.1,

$$\eta_\lambda(0) = (-1)^k(m+k)\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0) + (-1)^{m+k}\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0).$$

By Theorem 2.3, $\operatorname{sign}(\eta_\lambda(0)) = (-1)^{kq}$, $\operatorname{sign}(\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)) = (-1)^{k(q-1)}$ and $\operatorname{sign}(\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)) = (-1)^{(k-1)(q-1)}$. Therefore,

$$|\eta_\lambda(0)| = (m+k)|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)| + (-1)^{m-q+1}|\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)|.$$

Similarly,

$$|\eta_\mu(0)| = (m+k+1)|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)| + (-1)^{m-q}|\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)|.$$

By induction,

$$(m-q+1)|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)| \leq k|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)|.$$

Suppose $m = q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(m-q+1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| &= (m-q+1)\left((m+k)|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)| - |\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)|\right) \\
&< (m+k)\left((m-q+1)|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)|\right) \\
&< (m+k+1)\left(k|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)|\right) \\
&\leq k\left((m+k+1)|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)| + |\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)|\right) \\
&= k|\eta_\mu(0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $m > q$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(m-q+1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| &\leq (m-q+1)\left((m+k)|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)| + |\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)|\right) \\
&\leq (m+k)\left(k|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)|\right) + (m-q+1)|\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
k|\eta_\mu(0)| &\geq k\left((m+k+1)|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)| - |\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)|\right) \\
&> k\left((m+k+1)|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)| - |\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)|\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&k|\eta_\mu(0)| - (m-q+1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| \\
&\geq k|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)| - (m-q+k+1)|\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

If $q = 2$, then by Lemma 4.3, $k|\eta_\mu(0)| - (m-q+k+1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| \geq 0$. Suppose $q \geq 3$. By Corollary 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)| &= (q-2)|\eta_{(m-1,(q-2)^{k-1},q-3)}(0)| + |\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1})}(0)| \\
&> |\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1})}(0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&k|\eta_\mu(0)| - (m-q+1)|\eta_\lambda(0)| \\
&> k|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1})}(0)| - (m-q+k+1)|\eta_{((q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)| > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $r \geq 0$, $m \geq q \geq 1$, $k \geq 1$, $n = m + kq + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_j$, $q > \alpha_1$ and*

$$\lambda = (m, q^{k-1}, q, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r),$$
$$\mu = (m+1, q^{k-1}, q-1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r),$$

be partitions of $[n]$. Then

$$(m-q+1)\,|\eta_\lambda(0)| \leq k\,|\eta_\mu(0)|\,.$$

Proof. If $r = 0$, then the lemma follows from Lemma 4.2 or 4.5, depending on whether $k = 1$ or $k \geq 2$. Suppose $r \geq 1$. Then $q \geq 2$, for $q > \alpha_1 \geq 1$. We shall prove by induction on α_1.

Suppose $\alpha_1 = 1$. Then $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_r = 1$. By Corollary 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta_\lambda(0)| &= |\eta_{(m,q^{k-1},q,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{r-1})}(0)| + |\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)| \\
&= |\eta_{(m,q^{k-1},q,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{r-2})}(0)| + 2|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)| \\
&\;\;\vdots \\
&= |\eta_{(m,q^{k-1},q)}(0)| + r|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$|\eta_\mu(0)| = |\eta_{(m+1,q^{k-1},q-1)}(0)| + r|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)|.$$

By Lemma 4.2 or 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
(m-q+1)|\eta_{(m,q^{k-1},q)}(0)| &\leq k|\eta_{(m+1,q^{k-1},q-1)}(0)|, \qquad \text{and} \\
(m-q+1)|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1)}(0)| &\leq k|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2)}(0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(m-q+1)\,|\eta_\lambda(0)| \leq k\,|\eta_\mu(0)|$.

Suppose $\alpha_1 \geq 2$. Assume that the lemma holds for $\alpha_1 - 1$. By Corollary 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta_\lambda(0)| &= |\eta_{(m,q^{k-1},q,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{r-1})}(0)| + \alpha_r|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1,\alpha_1-1,\ldots,\alpha_r-1)}(0)| \\
&\;\;\vdots \\
&= |\eta_{(m,q^{k-1},q)}(0)| + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_j|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1,\alpha_1-1,\ldots,\alpha_j-1)}(0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$|\eta_\mu(0)| = |\eta_{(m+1,q^{k-1},q-1)}(0)| + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_j|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2,\alpha_1-1,\ldots,\alpha_j-1)}(0)|.$$

By Lemma 4.2 or 4.5,

$$(m-q+1)|\eta_{(m,q^{k-1},q)}(0)| \leq k|\eta_{(m+1,q^{k-1},q-1)}(0)|.$$

By induction, for $1 \leq j \leq r$,

$$(m-q+1)|\eta_{(m-1,(q-1)^{k-1},q-1,\alpha_1-1,\ldots,\alpha_j-1)}(0)| \leq k|\eta_{(m,(q-1)^{k-1},q-2,\alpha_1-1,\ldots,\alpha_j-1)}(0)|.$$

Hence, $(m-q+1)\,|\eta_\lambda(0)| \leq k\,|\eta_\mu(0)|$. □

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 4.7. *If $u \geq v$, then*

$$\left(\frac{u+1}{u}\right)\left(\frac{v-1}{v}\right) < 1.$$

Lemma 4.8. *Let $r \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$, $m \geq q \geq 2$, $n = m + kq + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_j$, $q > \alpha_1$ and*

$$\lambda = (m, q^{k-1}, q, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r),$$
$$\mu = (m+1, q^{k-1}, q-1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r),$$

be partitions of $[n]$. Then

$$\frac{f^\lambda}{f^\mu} < \frac{(m-q+1)}{k}.$$

Proof. Note that $h_\mu(i,j) = h_\lambda(i,j)$ for all i,j except when $i = q$, $j = 1$ or $j = k+1$. Let $c_i = h_\lambda(i,1)$ and $d_i = h_\lambda(i,k+1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-1$. Note that $h_\mu(i,1) = c_i + 1$ and $h_\mu(i,k+1) = d_i - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-1$, and $h_\mu(q,1) = h_\lambda(q,1)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f^\lambda}{f^\mu} &= \frac{H^\mu}{H^\lambda} \\
&= \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1}(c_i+1)\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1}(d_i-1)\right)(m+1-q)!(k-1)!}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} c_i\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} d_i\right)(m-q)!k!} \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{c_i+1}{c_i}\right)\left(\frac{d_i-1}{d_i}\right)\right)\frac{(m+1-q)}{k} \\
&< \frac{(m-q+1)}{k},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $c_i > d_i$ and Lemma 4.7. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let $r \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$, $m \geq q \geq 1$, $n = m + kq + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_j$, $q > \alpha_1$ and*

$$\lambda = (m, q^{k-1}, q, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r),$$
$$\mu = (m+1, q^{k-1}, q-1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r),$$

be partitions of $[n]$. Then

$$f^\lambda \left|\eta_\lambda(0)\right| \leq f^\mu \left|\eta_\mu(0)\right|.$$

Furthermore, equality holds if and only if $\lambda = (1, 1^{n-1})$ or $\lambda = (n-1, 1)$.

Proof. Suppose $q = 1$. Then $r = 0$ and the theorem follows from Lemma 4.1. Suppose $q \geq 2$. By Lemma 4.6 and 4.8,

$$\frac{f^\lambda}{f^\mu}\left|\eta_\lambda(0)\right| < \frac{(m-q+1)}{k}\left|\eta_\lambda(0)\right| \leq \left|\eta_\mu(0)\right|.$$

This complete the proof of the theorem. □

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. Suppose the Ferrers diagrams obtained from λ by removing 1 node from the right hand side from any row of the diagram so that the resulting diagram will still be a partition of $(n-1)$ are those of $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_s$. Then by Theorem 3.7,

$$\eta_\lambda(1) = \frac{n}{kf^\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{s} f^{\mu_j} \eta_{\mu_j}(0).$$

Suppose $r = 1$. Then $s = 1$ and $\mu_1 = (\lambda_1 - 1) = (n-1)$. Thus, $\eta_\lambda(1) = \frac{n}{kf^\lambda} f^{\mu_1} \eta_{\mu_1}(0) \geq 0$ and with equality if and only if $\mu_1 = (1)$, i.e., $\lambda = (2)$.

Suppose $r \geq 2$. If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, then the first part of each μ_j is λ_1. By Theorem 2.3, $\text{sign}(\eta_{\mu_j}(0)) = (\sum_{i=2}^{r} \lambda_i) - 1 = |\lambda| - \lambda_1 - 1$. Hence, $\eta_\lambda(1) = (-1)^{|\lambda|-\lambda_1-1} \frac{n}{kf^\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{s} f^{\mu_j} |\eta_{\mu_j}(0)|$. Note that $\eta_\lambda(1) = 0$ if and only if $s = 1$ and $\mu_1 = (1)$, i.e., $\lambda = (1,1)$. For other partitions λ, $|\eta_\lambda(1)| \neq 0$ and $\text{sign}(\eta_\lambda(1)) = |\lambda| - \lambda_1 - 1$.

Suppose $\lambda_1 = m + 1 > \lambda_2 = q$. Note that we may write

$$\lambda = (m+1, q^{k-1}, q, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r),$$

where $r \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$, $m \geq q \geq 1$, and $q > \alpha_1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= (m, q^{k-1}, q, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r), \\ \mu_2 &= (m+1, q^{k-1}, q-1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.3, $\text{sign}(\eta_{\mu_1}(0)) = |\lambda| - \lambda_1$ and $\text{sign}(\eta_{\mu_j}(0)) = |\lambda| - \lambda_1 - 1$ for $j \geq 2$. This implies that

$$\eta_\lambda(1) = (-1)^{|\lambda|-\lambda_1-1} \frac{n}{kf^\lambda} \left(f^{\mu_2} |\eta_{\mu_2}(0))| - f^{\mu_1} |\eta_{\mu_1}(0))| + \sum_{j=3}^{s} f^{\mu_j} |\eta_{\mu_j}(0)| \right).$$

By Theorem 4.9, $f^{\mu_2} |\eta_{\mu_2}(0)| - f^{\mu_1} |\eta_{\mu_1}(0)| \geq 0$. Furthermore, equality holds if and only if

$$\mu_1 = (1, 1^{n-2}) \text{ or } \mu_1 = (n-2, 1),$$

i.e., $\lambda = (2, 1^{n-2})$ or $(n-1, 1)$. Note also that when this happens, we have $s = 2$ and $\eta_\lambda(1) = 0$. For other partitions λ, $f^{\mu_2} |\eta_{\mu_2}(0)| - f^{\mu_1} |\eta_{\mu_1}(0)| > 0$. Hence, $|\eta_\lambda(1)| \neq 0$ and $\text{sign}(\eta_\lambda(1)) = |\lambda| - \lambda_1 - 1$.

This complete the proof of the theorem. □

6 Eigenvalues Table for $\mathcal{F}(n,1)$

$n=3$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[3]$	3	$[2,1]$	0	$[1^3]$	-3

$n=4$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[4]$	8	$[3,1]$	0	$[2,2]$	-4	$[2,1^2]$	0	$[1^4]$	8

$n=5$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[5]$	45	$[3,2]$	-3	$[2^2,1]$	9	$[1^5]$	-15
$[4,1]$	0	$[3,1^2]$	-5	$[2,1^3]$	0		

$n=6$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[6]$	264	$[4,1^2]$	-12	$[3,1^3]$	12	$[2,1^4]$	0
$[5,1]$	0	$[3^2]$	24	$[2^3]$	-24	$[1^6]$	24
$[4,2]$	-16	$[3,2,1]$	9	$[2^2,1^2]$	-16		

$n = 7$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[7]$	1855	$[5,1^2]$	-63	$[4,1^3]$	28	$[3,2,1^2]$	-17	$[2^2,1^3]$	25
$[6,1]$	0	$[4,3]$	40	$[3^2,1]$	-45	$[3,1^4]$	-21	$[2,1^5]$	0
$[5,2]$	-65	$[4,2,1]$	37	$[3,2^2]$	-15	$[2^3,1]$	40	$[1^7]$	-35

$n = 8$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[8]$	14832	$[5,1^3]$	144	$[3^2,2]$	80	$[2^3,1^2]$	-60
$[7,1]$	0	$[4^2]$	-168	$[3^2,1^2]$	72	$[2^2,1^4]$	-36
$[6,2]$	-372	$[4,3,1]$	-72	$[3,2^2,1]$	24	$[2,1^6]$	0
$[6,1^2]$	-352	$[4,2^2]$	-72	$[3,2,1^3]$	27	$[1^8]$	48
$[5,3]$	180	$[4,2,1^2]$	-64	$[3,1^5]$	32		
$[5,2,1]$	153	$[4,1^4]$	-48	$[2^4]$	-72		

$n = 9$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[9]$	133497	$[5,3,1]$	-315	$[4,2,1^3]$	97	$[3,1^6]$	-45
$[8,1]$	0	$[5,2,2]$	-273	$[4,1^5]$	72	$[2^4,1]$	105
$[7,2]$	-2471	$[5,2,1^2]$	-263	$[3^3]$	-171	$[2^3,1^3]$	84
$[7,1^2]$	-2385	$[5,1^4]$	-243	$[3^2,2,1]$	-120	$[2^2,1^5]$	49
$[6,3]$	924	$[4^2,1]$	267	$[3^3,1^3]$	-105	$[2,1^7]$	0
$[6,2,1]$	849	$[4,3,2]$	120	$[3,2^3]$	-33	$[1^9]$	-63
$[6,1^3]$	792	$[4,3,1^2]$	112	$[3,2^2,1^2]$	-35		
$[5,4]$	-375	$[4,2^2,1]$	112	$[3,2,1^4]$	-39		

$n = 10$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[10]$	1334960	$[6,1^4]$	-1320	$[4,3,2,1]$	-175	$[3,2^2,1^3]$	48
$[9,1]$	0	$[5^2]$	1280	$[4,3,1^3]$	-160	$[3,2,1^5]$	53
$[8,2]$	-19072	$[5,4,1]$	585	$[4,2^3]$	-172	$[3,1^7]$	60
$[8,1^2]$	-18540	$[5,3,2]$	504	$[4,2^2,1^2]$	-160	$[2^5]$	-160
$[7,3]$	5936	$[5,3,1^2]$	480	$[4,2,1^4]$	-136	$[2^4,1^2]$	-144
$[7,2,1]$	5561	$[5,2^2,1]$	416	$[4,1^6]$	-100	$[2^3,1^4]$	-112
$[7,1^3]$	5300	$[5,2,1^3]$	395	$[3^3,1]$	248	$[2^2,1^6]$	-64
$[6,4]$	-1872	$[5,1^5]$	360	$[3^2,2^2]$	180	$[2,1^8]$	0
$[6,3,1]$	-1584	$[4^2,2]$	-420	$[3^2,2,1^2]$	168	$[1^{10}]$	80
$[6,2^2]$	-1488	$[4^2,1^2]$	-388	$[3^2,1^4]$	144		
$[6,2,1^2]$	-1432	$[4,3^2]$	-184	$[3,2^3,1]$	45		

$n = 11,\ \lambda_1 \geq 5$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[11]$	14684571	$[7,2,1^2]$	-9269	$[5,4,2]$	-875
$[10,1]$	0	$[7,1^4]$	-8745	$[5,4,1^2]$	-837
$[9,2]$	-166869	$[6,5]$	3576	$[5,3^2]$	-801
$[9,1^2]$	-163163	$[6,4,1]$	2851	$[5,3,2,1]$	-720
$[8,3]$	44496	$[6,3,2]$	2464	$[5,3,1^3]$	-675
$[8,2,1]$	42381	$[6,3,1^2]$	2376	$[5,2^3]$	-603
$[8,1^3]$	40788	$[6,2^2,1]$	2232	$[5,2^2,1^2]$	-585
$[7,4]$	-11109	$[6,2,1^3]$	2121	$[5,2,1^4]$	-549
$[7,3,1]$	-10017	$[6,1^5]$	1936	$[5,1^6]$	-495
$[7,2^2]$	-9531	$[5^2,1]$	-1863		

$n = 12,\ \lambda_1 \geq 6$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[12]$	176214840	$[8,2,1^2]$	-69928	$[6,5,1]$	-5112
$[11,1]$	0	$[8,1^4]$	-66744	$[6,4,2]$	-4160
$[10,2]$	-1631620	$[7,5]$	19880	$[6,4,1^2]$	-4008
$[10,1^2]$	-1601952	$[7,4,1]$	16665	$[6,3^2]$	-3684
$[9,3]$	381420	$[7,3,2]$	15264	$[6,3,2,1]$	-3465
$[9,2,1]$	367113	$[7,3,1^2]$	14840	$[6,3,1^3]$	-3300
$[9,1^3]$	355992	$[7,2^2,1]$	14120	$[6,2^3]$	-3192
$[8,4]$	-80112	$[7,2,1^3]$	13595	$[6,2^2,1^2]$	-3100
$[8,3,1]$	-74160	$[7,1^5]$	12720	$[6,2,1^4]$	-2916
$[8,2^2]$	-71520	$[6^2]$	-10860	$[6,1^6]$	-2640

$n = 13,\ \lambda_1 \geq 6$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[13]$	2290792933	$[8,3,1^2]$	108768	$[6^2,1]$	14877
$[12,1]$	0	$[8,2^2,1]$	104896	$[6,5,2]$	7152
$[11,2]$	-17621483	$[8,2,1^3]$	101713	$[6,5,1^2]$	6904
$[11,1^2]$	-17354493	$[8,1^5]$	96408	$[6,4,3]$	5997
$[10,3]$	3671140	$[7,6]$	-36155	$[6,4,2,1]$	5616
$[10,2,1]$	3559897	$[7,5,1]$	-27953	$[6,4,1^3]$	5343
$[10,1^3]$	3470896	$[7,4,2]$	-23805	$[6,3^2,1]$	4972
$[9,4]$	-667467	$[7,4,1^2]$	-23147	$[6,3,2^2]$	4752
$[9,3,1]$	-629343	$[7,3^2]$	-22271	$[6,3,2,1^2]$	4620
$[9,2^2]$	-611853	$[7,3,2,1]$	-21200	$[6,3,1^4]$	4356
$[9,2,1^2]$	-600731	$[7,3,1^3]$	-20405	$[6,2^3,1]$	4257
$[9,1^4]$	-578487	$[7,2^3]$	-19853	$[6,2^2,1^3]$	4092
$[8,5]$	133408	$[7,2^2,1^2]$	-19415	$[6,2,1^5]$	3817
$[8,4,1]$	118683	$[7,2,1^4]$	-18539	$[6,1^7]$	3432
$[8,3,2]$	111240	$[7,1^6]$	-17225		

$n = 15$

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[15]$	481066515735	$[8,2,1^5]$	177997	$[6,3,1^6]$	6864
$[14,1]$	0	$[8,1^7]$	166860	$[6,2^4,1]$	7032
$[13,2]$	−2672591753	$[7^2,1]$	−133761	$[6,2^3,1^3]$	6813
$[13,1^2]$	−2643222615	$[7,6,2]$	−65079	$[6,2^2,1^5]$	6448
$[12,3]$	458158584	$[7,6,1^2]$	−63305	$[6,2,1^7]$	5937
$[12,2,1]$	448546869	$[7,5,3]$	−52211	$[6,1^9]$	5280
$[12,1^3]$	440537100	$[7,5,2,1]$	−49700	$[5^3]$	−11235
$[11,4]$	−66080553	$[7,5,1^3]$	−47825	$[5^2,4,1]$	−7880
$[11,3,1]$	−63633141	$[7,4^2]$	−46581	$[5^2,3,2]$	−6803
$[11,2^2]$	−62476167	$[7,4,3,1]$	−43193	$[5^2,3,1^2]$	−6545
$[11,2,1^2]$	−61675193	$[7,4,2^2]$	−41655	$[5^2,2^2,1]$	−6063
$[11,1^4]$	−60073245	$[7,4,2,1^2]$	−40733	$[5^2,2,1^3]$	−5760
$[10,5]$	9789640	$[7,4,1^4]$	−38889	$[5^2,1^5]$	−5255
$[10,4,1]$	9154035	$[7,3^2,2]$	−38981	$[5,4^2,2]$	−3285
$[10,3,2]$	8810736	$[7,3^2,1^2]$	−38111	$[5,4^2,1^2]$	−3183
$[10,3,1^2]$	8677240	$[7,3,2^2,1]$	−36729	$[5,4,3^2]$	−3111
$[10,2^2,1]$	8484424	$[7,3,2,1^3]$	−35616	$[5,4,3,2,1]$	−2925
$[10,2,1^3]$	8306425	$[7,3,1^5]$	−33761	$[5,4,3,1^3]$	−2793
$[10,1^5]$	8009760	$[7,2^4]$	−33965	$[5,4,2^3]$	−2685
$[9,6]$	−1668105	$[7,2^3,1^2]$	−33351	$[5,4,2^2,1^2]$	−2615
$[9,5,1]$	−1468563	$[7,2^2,1^4]$	−32123	$[5,4,2,1^4]$	−2475
$[9,4,2]$	−1359655	$[7,2,1^6]$	−30281	$[5,4,1^6]$	−2265
$[9,4,1^2]$	−1334937	$[7,1^8]$	−27825	$[5,3^3,1]$	−2649
$[9,3^2]$	−1311141	$[6^2,3]$	27903	$[5,3^2,2^2]$	−2495
$[9,3,2,1]$	−1271400	$[6^2,2,1]$	26064	$[5,3^2,2,1^2]$	−2421
$[9,3,1^3]$	−1239615	$[6^2,1^3]$	24765	$[5,3^2,1^4]$	−2273
$[9,2^3]$	−1223703	$[6,5,4]$	14181	$[5,3,2^3,1]$	−2160
$[9,2^2,1^2]$	−1205165	$[6,5,3,1]$	12832	$[5,3,2^2,1^3]$	−2079
$[9,2,1^4]$	−1168089	$[6,5,2^2]$	12252	$[5,3,2,1^5]$	−1944
$[9,1^6]$	−1112475	$[6,5,2,1^2]$	11920	$[5,3,1^7]$	−1755
$[8,7]$	393072	$[6,5,1^4]$	11256	$[5,2^5]$	−1725
$[8,6,1]$	297585	$[6,4^2,1]$	11352	$[5,2^4,1^2]$	−1691
$[8,5,2]$	250140	$[6,4,3,2]$	10287	$[5,2^3,1^4]$	−1623
$[8,5,1^2]$	244588	$[6,4,3,1^2]$	9997	$[5,2^2,1^6]$	−1521
$[8,4,3]$	228897	$[6,4,2^2,1]$	9515	$[5,2,1^8]$	−1385
$[8,4,2,1]$	220308	$[6,4,2,1^3]$	9152	$[5,1^{10}]$	−1215
$[8,4,1^3]$	213627	$[6,4,1^5]$	8547	$[4^3,3]$	3420
$[8,3^2,1]$	209752	$[6,3^3]$	8808	$[4^3,2,1]$	3075
$[8,3,2^2]$	203940	$[6,3^2,2,1]$	8409	$[4^3,1^3]$	2856
$[8,3,2,1^2]$	200232	$[6,3^2,1^3]$	8100	$[4^2,3^2,1]$	2305
$[8,3,1^4]$	192816	$[6,3,2^3]$	7920	$[4^2,3,2^2]$	2143
$[8,2^3,1]$	190725	$[6,3,2^2,1^2]$	7744	$[4^2,3,2,1^2]$	2061
$[8,2^2,1^3]$	185952	$[6,3,2,1^4]$	7392	$[4^2,3,1^4]$	1897

λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ	λ	η_λ
$[4^2, 2^3, 1]$	1788	$[4, 2^3, 1^5]$	697	$[3, 2^6]$	-123
$[4^2, 2^2, 1^3]$	1695	$[4, 2^2, 1^7]$	520	$[3, 2^5, 1^2]$	-125
$[4^2, 2, 1^5]$	1540	$[4, 2, 1^9]$	421	$[3, 2^4, 1^4]$	-129
$[4^2, 1^7]$	1323	$[4, 1^{11}]$	300	$[3, 2^3, 1^6]$	-135
$[4, 3^3, 2]$	760	$[3^5]$	-1545	$[3, 2^2, 1^8]$	-143
$[4, 3^3, 1^2]$	744	$[3^4, 2, 1]$	-1368	$[3, 2, 1^9]$	-153
$[4, 3^2, 2^2, 1]$	736	$[3^4, 1^3]$	-1263	$[3, 1^{12}]$	-165
$[4, 3^2, 2, 1^3]$	709	$[3^3, 2^3]$	-1119	$[2^7, 1]$	624
$[4, 3^2, 1^5]$	664	$[3^3, 2^2, 1^2]$	-1073	$[2^6, 1^3]$	585
$[4, 3, 2^4]$	720	$[3^3, 2, 1^4]$	-981	$[2^5, 1^5]$	520
$[4, 3, 2^3, 1^2]$	700	$[3^3, 1^6]$	-843	$[2^4, 1^7]$	429
$[4, 3, 2^3, 1^4]$	660	$[3^2, 2^4, 1]$	-693	$[2^3, 1^9]$	312
$[4, 3, 2, 1^6]$	600	$[3^2, 2^3, 1^3]$	-660	$[2^2, 1^{11}]$	169
$[4, 3, 1^8]$	520	$[3^2, 2^2, 1^5]$	-605	$[2, 1^{13}]$	0
$[4, 2^5, 1]$	685	$[3^2, 2, 1^7]$	-528	$[1^{15}]$	-195
$[4, 2^4, 1^3]$	652	$[3^2, 1^9]$	-429		

References

[1] L. Babai, Spectra of Cayley graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **27** (1979), 180–189.

[2] B.F. Chen, E. Ghorbani, and K.B. Wong, Cyclic decomposition of k-permutations and eigenvalues of the arrangement graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **20** (4) (2013), #P22.

[3] B.F. Chen, E. Ghorbani, and K.B. Wong, On the eigenvalues of certain Cayley graphs and arrangement graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **444** (2014), 246–253.

[4] E. Cheng, J.W. Grossman, K. Qiu, and Z. Shen, The number of shortest paths in the arrangement graph, *Inform. Sci.* **240** (2013), 191–204.

[5] E. Cheng, M.J. Lipman, L. Lipták, and D. Sherman, Conditional matching preclusion for the arrangement graphs, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412** (2011), 6279–6289.

[6] E. Cheng, L. Lipták, and A. Yuan, Linearly many faults in arrangement graphs, *Networks* **61** (2013), 281–289.

[7] E. Cheng, K. Qiu, and Z. Shen, The edge-centered surface area of the arrangement graph, *J. Comb. Optim.* **27** (2014), 49–64.

[8] W.K. Chiang and R.-J. Chen, On the arrangement graph, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **66** (1998), 215–219.

[9] K. Day and A. Tripathi, Arrangement graphs: a class of generalized star graphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **42** (1992), 235–241.

[10] P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani, Generating a random permutation with random transpositions, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **57** (1981), 159–179.

[11] H. O. Foulkes, Recurrences for Characters of the Symmetric Group, *Discrete Math.* **21** (1978) 137–144.

[12] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[13] C.D. Godsil and B.D. McKay, Feasibility conditions for the existence of walk-regular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **30** (1980), 51–61.

[14] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.

[15] R. Krakovski and B. Mohar, Spectrum of Cayley graphs on the symmetric group generated by transpositions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012), 1033–1039.

[16] C.Y. Ku, D.B. Wales, Eigenvalues of the Derangement Graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **117** (2010), 289–312.

[17] C.Y. Ku, K.B. Wong, Solving the Ku-Wales Conjecture On the Eigenvalues of the Derangement Graph, *European J. Combin.* **34** (2013), 941–956.

[18] A. Lubotzky, *Discrete Groups, Expanding Graphs, and Invariant Measures*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994.

[19] M. Ram Murty, Ramanujan graphs, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **18** (2003), 1–20.

[20] P. Renteln, On the Spectrum of the Derangement Graph, *Electron. J. Combin.* **14** (2007), #R82.

[21] J.P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

[22] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics 2*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[23] Y.-H. Teng, J.J.M. Tan, C.-W. Tsay, and L.-H. Hsu, The paths embedding of the arrangement graphs with prescribed vertices in given position, *J. Comb. Optim.* **24** (2012), 627–646.

[24] S. Zhou and J.-M. Xu, Conditional fault tolerance of arrangement graphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **111** (2011), 1037–1043.